

“*Show Me a Family of Readers...*”: Investigating the Connection between Readers’ Attitudes and Their Ratings of Their Creativity in the Reading Process

Georgios A. Moutsinas^{1,*}

¹Hellenic Ministry of Education, Religious Affairs, and Sport, Directorate of Primary Education of Magnesia, 164 Anthimou Gazi St., 382-21 Volos, Greece

*Corresponding author: Hellenic Ministry of Education, Religious Affairs, and Sport, Directorate of Primary Education of Magnesia, 164 Anthimou Gazi St., 382-21 Volos, Greece. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8325-6121> E-mail: gmoutsinas@sch.gr

Received: September 18, 2023 Accepted: February 28, 2024 Published: March 22, 2024

doi:10.5296/ije.v16i1.21333 URL: <https://doi.org/10.5296/ije.v16i1.21333>

Abstract

The headline of this research is on how schoolchild readers’ mental frameworks alter their understanding of what constitutes creative reading. In the paper at hand, 320 Greek fourth graders participated in a correlational survey project, wherein both basic and multiple linear regression, as well as Pearson’s r product–moment correlation, were exerted to ponder the annals assembled. The procured results, among others, indicated a senior, reversed match allying the sub-dimensions of the dispensed “attitude toward reading and perception of creative reading (ATR–PCR)” scale; to put it modestly, as a student’s position near reading improves, the importance they assign on their ability to steer their imagination while reading decreases. Besides that, strong shared links dealing with the perception of inventive reading and the sub-facets of contributions, meaning, activities, and the plenary praxis of reading, plus the fact that temperament in view of reading was a covariate of the mindfulness of ingenious reading, were identified.

Keywords: creative reading (CR), textual interpretation, interpretation based on reading familiarity, ATR–PCR scale, primary school-age children, correlation, regression

1. Introduction

Students' reading creativity manifests itself in an array of classroom enterprises, because it fuels their cognitive regimens (Heidari, 2020). Inventive reading may be defined as a mode of reading that is often unintentionally leveraged by pupils, without their awareness, during guided reading exercises. Each student profits by his or her own inimitable resourceful potential in the classroom just as they do in every spare aspect of life; originative reading encompasses a wide selection of compelling practices, from role-playing to music and dance to visual art, and indisputably taking a new identity as a way to engage with literature. In creative perusal, the reader offers a distinctive interpretation of the text, stemmed from his or her idiosyncratic acquaintances and analytical reasoning—there will inevitably be manifold questioning and assessing done for the duration of this procedure (Safriyani & Anam, 2021, pp. 17-18).

The appositeness to tap into one's reading comprehension to make conclusions, inferences, give insightful comments, and spawn omniscient critiques is one recounting of creative reading (CR; Marcos et al., 2020). The reading mechanism is broken down into four easy strokes (i.e., lexile prediction, omitting morphemes at will, reading for meaning, and reading freely; Dolean et al., 2019). Lexeme recognition is an operation that relies heavily on phonological training; this section of reading doesn't need much concentration, but can be finished fast and conveniently. An individual with random omission is capable of dissecting any given totality into its component segments, with a focus on assimilating how explication is gained from parlance. The culminating stage of scanning is called "CR" and it embodies the reader coming up with their specific, unique take on the material, established on their meticulous background and expertise (Marcos et al., 2020). According to Dolean et al. (2019), ingenious reading is a kind of reading that might perhaps be easily piloted in the primary-school grades—the adeptness to fabricate illations and conceit cause and effect surfaces in children spanning as early as the third year of life. Adult and kid thought channels are marginally akin. However, adults possess the subliminal maturity to monitor and refine the theories they have enriched hinged on their exposure, but children lack this capacity (Moutsinas et al., 2023, pp. 172-173).

A scarcity of pertinent research is latent, since most academics have disregarded CR; despite evidence suggesting otherwise, the clinicians gave little consideration to the function of innovativeness in reading (Salminen et al., 2020). The tenor of reading that commands the maximal capitalization of one's imagination is the least stressed, as stated by Brevik and colleagues (2018). Albeit being the terminal act in the reading scheme, he says, mentors lack a firm cognizance of the habiliments and are unwary about the tactics handled in CR. The last-mentioned is the routine of reinterpreting discourse through the lens of one's endemic, salient imagination. This is due to the factuality that the literature provides few support and examples for the issue. The veiled truths and beauty of life are conceded to the people via imaginative scholarship applied to every single strand of daily existence (Athanasopoulou & Douzina, 2022).

Reading can be categorized into three distinct types, as described by Richardson and Mishra

(2018), such as receptive reading, critical reading, and CR. A learner who has excelled in responsive reading will be able to vindicate the author's target audience and the plight or viewpoint the scrivener had in mind while writing the locution. As a repercussion, amenable reading is the absolutely basic and elementary grain of scrutiny. The student has to have a solid grasp of the fundamentals of reading, before embarking on excessive, escalated reading strategies like propitious thinking and writing radically. The purpose of exigent reading is to compile larger verdicts pertaining to the phraseology, including its quality and whether or not it is ethically acceptable or immoral, and to assess the arguments presented within the book and decide if they are legitimate or not. Pupils who have mastered both conscious and critical reading puissance are expected to resort to those dexterities, in order to polish original reading accouterments. We may claim that CR is the peak of reading caliber, forasmuch as it insists on a greater level of refinement than profound reading (Salminen et al., 2020).

Using your imagination simultaneously as reading will allow you to enter two oppugnant spheres; convergent and divergent reading are two forms of creative interpretation. As soon as you engage in antithetical CR, you draw inspiration from the scripter's nuances and points of view to mold your exclusive interpretation of the wording. The reader will be qualified to generate seminal and interesting contrivances, utilizing these notions. To pursue divergent CR cogently, the reader must discharge precogitated beliefs and suppositions. Contrariwise, in an intersectional reading session, the reader and the author retaliate together to discover ripostes to ambivalences or resolve problems. Readers who practice convergent CR successfully combine their peculiar visualizations with those of the composer at appropriate points of overlap (Richardson & Mishra, 2018). Here, it's crucial to focus on profiting from both confluent and dissonant CR approaches in tandem. The proficiency to invent one's resident interpretations of well-known opuses is a major strength of the creative reader; the trainees' imaginative reading is thus freed from the constraints of the written vocables (Zhu et al., 2019, pp. 323-325).

1.1 Creative Reading in Edifying Customs: Rooting for Common Premises?

People in knowledge-creating civilization are mandated to not only learn the content, but also eagerly partake in it by making use of their metacognitive intellect. CR programs are very valuable at this point. Cognitive techniques assume that students will be prepared to unravel and synthesize the lore exhibited in the diction, whereas constructivist schedules accentuate student-centered interaction with the appurtenances and the precipitation of novel images. This is imputable to the veracity that the behavioral delivery to education places a premium on pupils' innate capacities for acquiring cultivation and disentangling syllabi brandished in lettered layout (Khan et al., 2021, pp. 22-23; O'Connor, 2022).

Nowadays, astute reading is the unsurpassably suitable avenue for achieving constructivist sapience. As Lassig (2020) puts it, tutors ought to be deemed film producers, who read aloud literary pieces; this not solely gets the kids reflecting and feeling, but it consonantly impels them to read. We designate this stripe of reading as "CR". The concept of "close reading", which may be found in plenteous tasks, is equally related to expressive reading. What is the promulgation in the text? How does the scribbler transmit these clues? What do these

bulletins mean? The aforesaid elements are all fragments of “dense reading”, a rumination style that illustrates numerous liaisons with the rhetoric. The readers join in both compact perustration and CR, by fervently envisaging the verbalization granted their personal, inner frames and deliberations (Liu et al., 2020).

Intricate readers are adequate for reading with their imaginations vibrant; this is ascribable to the case where individuals are hampered in their capacity for unprecedented thought and portentous reading by the norms of their society. Creative innovation is hindered by cultural norms, inasmuch as fresh rationalization and the flexibility to maintain new areas of interrogation are required for the formation of unique routines and conceptions (Babayigit, 2019, p. 215). If people are acquiesced to contemplate for themselves and enunciate their minds, it will lead to an *agile* [sic] rise in fertile writing and reading. Gathering revelation and perspicacity by virtue of social contacts and in conformity with collective standards is an acute impediment to CR (Holman Jones, 2018).

As attested by Hong et al. (2020), encouraging children’s imaginative reading in the classroom entails sparking their curiosity, providing a safe and collaborative environment, and laying out clear maneuvers toward the desired outcome. Students in schools where they are invigorated to tackle their imaginations read books that capture their attention in a quiet setting, so that they may be immersed in visualizing the realms–atmospheres portrayed in the articulation. One’s surroundings and the reserves available to them enforce a cardinal duty in inspiring reading creativity. Seeing that originative reconnoitering is not a foolproof formula and stipulates a wealth of energy from the learner, it is imperative that the teacher or trainer have a firm percipience of the curricula subject matter (Reis et al., 2021).

First and foremost, fortuitous attainment in reading and imaginative skirring expeditions necessitates a corroborative attitude apropos of this occurrence —having an optimistic mindset guarantees one will learn about and conquer skills associated with an episode. Viewpoint can be defined as a person’s predetermined spiritual, emotional, and behavioral responses to any item, communal topic, or event in his or her immediate ambience, as organized by the persona’s prior exposure to and processing of relevant intimation, as well as someone’s level of engrossment and enthusiasm in the field. Once this context is gleaned, it is facile to witness that juxtaposition is a complex phenomenon (Chvátlová & Stranovská, 2019; Sulistiyarini, 2020, pp. 597-600).

In line with Richardson and Mishra (2018, p. 46), a standpoint is “a person’s entrenched inclination to think, feel, and behave in a particular manner anent a certain psychological object”. This term refers to a more constant and stable configuration of one’s prevailing tendencies (Newman et al., 2018) or to a propensity to react in a very good or very adverse manner, possibly swaying by the merit of the triggers in the past (Covin et al., 2020). The way one esteems doing something may either inspire somebody to do it or put them off executing it. Learning to read becomes a habit, instantly after reading is prioritized and treated as a pivotal routine endeavor. To increase students’ alertness of reading, instructors in this backdrop must create a sentiment of urgency. The faculty to read is axiomatic to succeeding in life, and those who lack it suffer many challenges that may be avoided under

the aegis of edification (Sur & Ateş, 2022).

Lifelong learning has never been farther important than it is now, in a world where knowledge is continuously being prompted and updated, and where scientific and technological advancements need human beings to attune to ever-changing conditions. One is bound to make reading a habit, if they want to continue learning new things throughout their life. A substantial struggle of living in the information age is training oneself to be discerning in what excerpt to take in; it's very evident that the competency to read is eminent in such situations. A favorable disposition appertaining to school, the educationist, the courses, and abetting pedantic peripherals is vital to the realization of the didactical venture (Rakhimova Muhammadjanovna, 2022), which is in turn susceptible to the student's attitude (Cremin & Chappell, 2021).

To a miscellaneous extent, pupils' preconceived convictions touching on reading shape how they respond to reading instruction and what they reiterate while learning. The reading experiences of entities affect their reading perspectives. Students are more awaited to hold positive views relative to perusal and its advantages, presuming that they are encircled by or live with people who endorse reading exceedingly or who do so themselves (Moutsinas et al., 2019, pp. 32-35). If you want your children to grow up loving books, you should read to them often. Households that read to their offspring at home, take them to bookshops, and dialogically applaud passionately book trading relish members who are likelier to annex beneficial scouring habits (Akramova Renatovna & Akramova Renatovna, 2021, p. 4899; Kiosses, 2019, pp. 19-20).

Having a heartening stance whilst reading is quite beneficial —trust is the bedrock of an auspicious outlook. A confident reader knows they are able to read everything they devote their acuity to (Saidov Ismailovich, 2021). Regrettably, barely approximately 5% of elementary schoolchildren in Grade 4 actually finesse the library in the midst of their free time, whereas merely 25% of these students indulge in reading extracurricular books, which aren't needed for any of their taught disciplines (Chu et al., 2020).

Empathetic reading constitutes the cornerstone of the reading vocation (Zimmer et al., 2021). In this milieu, reading attitude measures could be envisioned as assaying passive, rather than active reading paradigms. It seems to reason that people's views and impressions on allusive reading would be different from —if not diametrically antonymous to— those on responsive reading, given that the former is the apex of the latter (Şimşek & Işıkoğlu Erdoğan, 2021, p. 831). Thereby, this article polls the impact that reading creative nonfiction has on readers' philosophy around literature.

Namely, our indagation impetus was ramified into the underneath triptych of research questions (RQs):

- RQ₁: What is the complementary nature atwix reading partiality and sense of CR?
- RQ₂: Does reading excitement vaticinate one's imprint of CR?
- RQ₃: Do the sub-dimensions of reading construal presciently convert the adroitness of CR?

2. Methodology

2.1 Model

Methodologically, the anatomization was entirely quantitative. The quantifiable study technique relies heavily on experiments and actual observations; computable research routes envelop the systematic aggregation of averment by dint of controlled trials and careful inspection (Mikalef et al., 2019). A correlational digest stratagem was employed so as to attempt to answer the predominant disquisition debate, by separating it into three sub-threads. Instigating the presence and magnitude of imparity amidst two or more variables is essential to the correlational inquiry design (Babbie, 2021, pp. 439-441; Creswell & Creswell, 2019, pp. 172-175).

2.2 Population–Sample (Data Sources)

A total (n) of 320 typically developing (TD; i.e., with no history of special educational needs and/or disabilities [SEN/Ds]) students from everywhere in the country of Greece (i.e., regions of Eastern Macedonia – Thrace, Central and Western Macedonia, Thessaly, Epirus, Ionian Islands, Central and Western Greece, Peloponnese, Attica, Northern and Southern Aegean, and Crete) took part in this research; all attended the fourth grade of primary school. The sample volume had to arrive at a minimum of five times as large as the corresponding population ($N = 11,067$; HSA, 2022b, p. 6), and ideally ten times as visible (Leung et al., 2021), reaching a fairly satisfactory 2.88% of our diffusional aspiration. One hundred eighty-five girls ($n_i = 51.87\%$) and 135 boys ($n_{ii} = 42.19\%$) were enrolled in the study, chronologically aged 9.40-10.07 (mean $[M]_{\text{age}} = 9.25$ years; standard deviation $[SD] = \pm 6.38$ months).

A statistically reliable sample was accumulated by stratified sampling —obtaining a generalization is not the aim of a hierarchical census protocol. Instead, it is fitting to track down underlying connections and similitudes bearing upon apparently dissimilar incidences (Bauer & Scheim, 2019). In this quarry, 30 public and private school districts were chosen at random and geographically clustered into three socioeconomic level territorial departments (i.e., low, average, and high $[n_{a/b/c} = 10]$; HSA, 2022a, pp. 54-55).

2.3 Instrumentation

2.3.1 Attitude Toward Reading and Perception of Creative Reading (ATR–PCR) Scale

Subsequent to extensive research into the exponential structure and inward congruity of the scale, we settled on a group of 27 items, compartmentalized into four blocks. (Note 2) Our tool was merged and rigorously adapted cross-anthropologically from the artifacts of Yurdakal (2019), and Yurdakal and Susar-Kırmızı (2017), owing to the hereinafter steps: [i] two sundered and bilingual, verified indigenous English-speaking, certified–professional translators (Greek–Americans) forwardly transcribed the initial scales in Greek (97.59% $M_{\text{durability}}$ of their transliterations; range: 83.01-98.65%); [ii] the deciphered edition was blindly and invertedly-transmuted by two surplus, homologous specialists in its rudimental language (98.13% $M_{\text{agreement}}$; range: 87.66-99.03%); [iii] all four typescripts/drafts were

checked by a fourfold interdisciplinary committee (i.e., licensed, clinical psychologist, primary schoolteacher, philologist–glottologist, and arithmetician), contrasted with the prototype; and [iv] the penultimate, coalesced version was piloted in 40 monolingual repliers, reminiscent of our destined audience (Gudmundsson, 2009; Hedrih, 2020, pp. 81-98).

On this occasion, consentient, minor amendments emanated for the sake of clarity, precision, coherence and courtesy in the glossarial and conceptual formulation of the instrument, the opportuneness and temporal requisites for filling it out, in parallel with its abidance by the age that it addressed (“debriefing”; Efstathiou, 2019; Leedy et al., 2019, pp. 148-150; Johnson & Morgan, 2016) —the tentative parameters extenuated a 46.41 percentage of the broad variance. Spearman–Brown’s *rho* coefficient for test-retest tenability in the period medially the incipient and the adjusted circulation of the criterion amounted to .74-.85; $p \leq .001$.

By carrying out confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), the factor loadings of the components on the 27-item and 4-item divisions of the measure accrue values ranging from .364 to .779 (i.e., parsimonious and incremental fit indexes). Purely the third tally on this index is unfavorable; the else are all upbeat. All of the denominations for the sub-factors were predicated on the ordonnance of the things in every one, coupled with the places brought up. Sub-factor 1 estimates which contributions a party takes away from reading; sub-factor 2 calculates what is afforded reading; sub-factor 3 assesses what is done as a product of reading; and sub-factor 4 gages what happens as a sequel to reading. For the first factor (f_1), modules have item load quotas inside the limits-thresholds of the expanse .779-.522; for the second factor (f_2), .627-.364; for the third factor (f_3), .681-.472; and for the fourth factor (f_4), .583-.383, analogously. Likewise, f_1 expounds 13.17% of the overarching dissemblance vis-à-vis our apparatus; f_2 , 6.84%; f_3 , 9.51%; and f_4 , 11.20% —the conjunct variegation annotated by all fractions was 42.82%.

In light of the young age of the participants, a 3-point, Likert-type ranking system was eventually yielded, even though a 5-point cast was explored pending the incubation phases (Hair et al., 2019, pp. 502-504; Moore et al., 2015, p. 125). The self-report contraption was remotely administered online (in roughly 25 minutes), on a one-to-one modality, over the second trimester of the 2022-2023 scholastic year.

2.3.2 Data Analysis

Reading attitude and creative perception ratings were tabulated and interpreted inductively, using the “IBM® SPSS®” statistics software (n.d.; Version 23.0). It was first ensured that the credentials followed a normal distribution, by scoping out its kurtosis and skewness coefficients. Posterior to recapitulating the warrants, we opted for a divagation among respondents’ reading stances (range: – .33 to – .15) and their perceptions of their eccentric, inventive flair (range: – .46 to – .07). Both kurtosis and skewness properties are decreed ordinary, if they fall within the breadth – 2 to + 2 (Busico et al., 2020). Consistent with Kippers and cohorts (2018), we may infer that the distribution is regular if the skewness coefficient reposes within the confines of – 1 and + 1. To judge by these criteria, it appears that the datasets harnessed in the drill adhere to a conventional assortment.

The statistical techniques which were wielded in the research engulfed simple and multiple linear regression (MLR) analysis, correlation analysis, and Pearson's r product-moment correlation analysis. Soliciting an autocorrelation for auto-control (Durbin-Watson d coefficient) of 1.502 was commensurate with reckonings from multiple regression analysis (i.e., the optimal spectrum for the autocorrelation coefficient when performing MLR is 1.5-2.5 [Jin et al., 2019]). In addition, multi-collinearity and linearity were palpated by redacting a histogram and a normal probability plot respectively; both exemplars purported that no multi-collinearity was installed amid the independent variables and that the dependent variable was linearly correlated with the neutral ones (Mills & Jordan, 2022, pp. 533-535; Roussos & Tsaousis, 2020, pp. 273-289).

3. Findings

Table 1 displays documentation relating to the overall and sub-dimensions of the alleged calibration for students' attitudes around reading and their judgments of CR (RQ_1 ; $H_1 \neq H_0$).

Table 1. Elementary-school Kids' Reading Predilections and Their Impressions of Their Singular CR Ability Are Correlated. ($n = 320$)

Sub-tenets	I.	II.	III.	IV.	V.	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.
I. Contributions of reading	1								
II. Meaning of reading	.627**	1							
III. Activities of reading	.574**	.688**	1						
IV. Process of reading	.495**	.587**	.764**	1					
V. Attitude toward reading	.788**	.868**	.884**	.831**	1				
VI. Text information	-.359**	-.324**	-.223**	-.253**	-.288**	1			
VII. Author information	-.353**	-.365**	-.282**	-.315**	-.386**	.847**	1		
VIII. Character information	-.157**	-.212**	-.148*	-.185**	-.188**	.716**	.682**	1	
IX. Perception of CR	-.281**	-.348**	-.252**	-.289**	-.349**	.974**	.954**	.782**	1

Note. ** $p \leq .02$; * $p < .06$.

When comparing the entire gradation and its sub-features, Table 1 revealed a statistically significant, positive relationship linking pupils' reading standpoints and their assessments of their formidable surveillance. More specifically, the affinity of the act of reading with its occurrences was the highest ($r[320] = .754$; $p < .02$) and an exalted correlation was unearthed within time spent reading and decryption ($r[320] = .688$; $p < .02$). On the contrary, reading aloud and its advantages were weakly associated ($r[320] = .495$; $p < .02$). Furthermore, the units of the ATR-PCR scale were savvied to be sheer robustly correlated concomitantly, alongside the Author-Text Information dimension ($r[320] = .847$; $p < .02$). To boot, the character and utterance erudition aspects have the greatest coetaneousness with each other ($r[320] = .716$; $p = .02$). Conversely, facade advertency and author bios were sparsely

compatible ($r[320] = .349; p = .02$).

On the other hand, for every uplifting shift in a reader's mentality, an inverse change abided in their judgement of reading's creative facility; in simple words, diminished imaginative reading would result from adopting a more optimistic leaning versus the reading interlude—this verdict is universal across all size dimensions. Indeed, a negative affinitive pattern pairing reading attitude and reading creativity perception scores was demonstrated ($r[320] = -.682; p < .02$). Synonymically, dissentient and subtle coalitions were distinguished between the sub-dimensions of reading's contributions ($r[320] = -.281; p < .02$), meaning ($r[320] = -.348; p < .02$), reading's agitation ($r[320] = -.252; p < .02$), and its antics ($r[320] = -.289; p < .02$). In closing, a slightly disavowing reciprocity betwixt the reading attitudes and verbatim information ($r[320] = -.288; p < .02$), author demography ($r[320] = -.386; p < .02$), and profile trivia ($r[320] = -.188; p < .02$) was discerned.

Details from a regression of primary school students' judgments of CR against their predispositions germane to reading (RQ₂; $H_2 \neq H_0$) are depicted in Table 2.

Table 2. The Implication of Reading Motivation on Probations of CR, Derived from Simple Linear Regression Analysis. ($n = 320$)

Predictive agents	<i>B</i>	SEB	β	<i>t</i>	Sig. (1-tailed) (<i>p</i> -value)
(Invariant)	141.546	7.135		19.7	.000*
Attitude of reading	-.718 [.84-1.66]	.222	-.449	-5.496	.000*

$R = -.349$
 $F = 41.899$

Note. R = Pearson's r correlation coefficient; F = ratio of one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA); B = regression coefficient b (digits in brackets mirror a 95% CI); SEB = standard error of unstandardized B ; β = standardized regression coefficient $beta$; * $p < .02$.

As it can be deduced from Table 2, a stark mutuality between fourth graders' summing-up of CR and their perusal attitudes dwells upon, as follows: $R = -.444$; $R(14) = .225$; $F(2, 302) = 41.899$; $p \leq .06$. Hence, a person's persuasion on reading explains 12.5% of the rounded friction in one's encounter with imaginative reading. The t -value ($t[316] = -5.50$; $p < .001$) and the standardized coefficient $beta$ ($\beta = -4.5$; 95% confidence interval [CI] = .84-1.66; $p < .001$) suggest that a child's tuition keenness may be put forth, to predict their opinion of reading's creative aptitude.

The reading attitudes of primary school pupils are evinced in Table 3 below, together with testimonies on the surveyees' proclivity for imaginative assiduity, as admittedly forecasted by the classification's discrete integrands (RQ₃; $H_3 \neq H_0$).

Table 3. MLR Audit Records Divulge the Relationship Coupling Reading Orientations and Text, Author, and Character Variables. ($n = 320$)

Predictive items	B	SEB	β	t	Sig. (2-tailed) (p -value)
(Static)	145.278	7.253		19.898	.000*
Contributions of reading	-.885	.475	-.141	-1.994	.072 ^{ns}
Meaning of reading	-1.845	.570	-.240	-3.057	.004*
Activities of reading	.678	.550	.119	1.326	.336 ^{ns}
Process of reading	-1.300	.689	-.164	-1.927	.067 ^{ns}
$R = .367$	$R^2 = .146$				
$F = 12.218$	$p = .01^*$				

Note. R = Pearson's r correlation coefficient; F = allotment of one-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA); B = regression coefficient b ; SEB = standard error of unstandardized B ; β = standardized regression coefficient $beta$; R^2 = square of correlation coefficient for our linear diagnostic model; $*p \leq .02$; ns = nonsignificant (i.e., $p \geq .05$).

A prominent and prognostic connectedness between participants' grip on reading's creative prospects and their appraisal of its contributions, meaning, transactions, and path was detected (i.e., $R = .37$; $R^2 = .14$; $F[2, 305] = 13.327$; $p < .02$; see Table 3). The sum of these variables, accompanied by the reader's outlook on diligence, accounts for almost 15 percent of the variation in the way that readers rate their manual accomplishments in CR.

Veritably, it turned out that wholly the sub-dimension of demarcation of reading was a statistically noteworthy speculator of how children weigh their disparate, ingenious reading, as elucidated by the t -test for paramountcy of regression coefficients ($t[316] = -3.06$; $p = .004$).

4. Discussion and Concluding Remarks

Principally, we elicited an affirmative and pronounced linkage involving the sub-dimensions of the reading attitude and inventiveness scale, and our overall instrument alone. Moreover, the perception that reading stimulates creativity was negatively related to all of the reading attitude indices—to rephrase it, directly as people foster sympathy concerning prudence, they tend to lay inferior emphasis on its transformative power (Yurdakal, 2019, p. 449). An examen of existing prepared reading posture measures in the literature discloses that the quizzing crafted for the meter is, in fact, fashioned for intuitive prowess (Zimmer et al., 2021). Constructivists argue that imaginative reading is superior to responsive resolution, insofar as it galvanizes unique ideas; one of the key dichotomies amongst creative and sentient reading is the reader's eligibility to form their concrete, cerebral blueprints of the rudiments they're consuming. This theory proposes that in the act of gearing items for reading stance benchmarks, agendas shall be concocted to promote dynamic, in preference to submissive,

reading (Liu et al., 2020).

All in all, components of the ATR–PCR scale were shown to have abundant interconnections with one another, along with underlying extrapolative traits. Assuredly, metrics manipulated in this research have a sovereign anticipation of being psychometrically accurate from an internal consistency – unbiased homogeneity (i.e., Cronbach’s $\alpha = .81-.85$; Raykov & Marcoulides, 2019; Guttman’s split-half $\lambda_{4[\max]} = 0.76-80$; Benton, 2015) and a semantic–face validity perspective (i.e., modified– $Kappa$ statistic [κ^*] = $.79-.89$; Almanasreh et al., 2019). In winding up, intraclass, concurrent–external validity of our instrument, as opposed to “elementary reading attitudes survey” (“ERAS”]; Shaunessy-Dedrick et al., 2015) and “critical–creative reading assessment” (“C–CRA”]; Priyatni Tri & Martutik, 2020) gages, profusely was $.87 \leq \rho_{xx} \leq .91$; $p < .001$ (DeVellis & Thorpe, 2022, p. 79).

5. Future Recommendations

- It is integral to declare that the items in the reading attitudes measures illuminate imaginative, alternately to analytical retrospection.
- In the literature, tools for ascertaining how readers feel when it comes to poignant reading proportionate to their outlook, stance, or worry, can be described. The constructivist tradition to reading calls for the amelioration of creative thinking scales that are liable enough to be put into service in a variety of pedagogical circumstances (O’Connor, 2022, pp. 413–414). In this petition, we sought to understand the amplitude to which one’s angle on reading influenced its representation as an artistic medium —nonetheless, supplemental inquest into the bond of elementary-school students’ reading surmise and their perceptions of sharp reading transversely various factors is plausible and might add to anterior swotting.
- Collusions between reading devicefully and collateral abilities (e.g., writing, listening, oral communication, etc.) should be canvassed.
- CR tutelage could accommodate the precepts of the four strains of personages’ acumen (i.e., intelligence quotient [IQ], emotional quotient [EQ], social quotient [SQ], and adversity quotient [AQ]), or indubitably the students’ multitudinous intelligences (i.e., visuospatial, linguistic–verbal, logical–mathematical, bodily–kinesthetic, musical, interpersonal, intrapersonal, and naturalistic), as they resemble to neurologically drive the action of reading sophisticatedly (Kaplan, 2019; Shearer, 2019; Spielman et al., 2021, pp. 371–377).
- Discriminational analyzation of trainees who speak Greek as native, secondary or foreign tongue (L2) is advisable, in contradiction to their reading fluency and enlightenment or their vocabulary deftness/repertoire (Moutsinas et al., 2020, pp. 51–55) as promisingly moderated by tailored, computerized–gamified amenities (Moutsinas et al., 2023). Coordinately, there has to be synchronous and longitudinal research, incorporating several institutional tiers (i.e., from preschool to tertiary education).

Acknowledgments

Our cordial gratitude honed to the panel of practitioners that perpetually assisted us in the transmutation of the ATR–PCR scale —our confederates requested to retain their anonymity.

References

- Akramova Renatovna, G., & Akramova Renatovna, S. (2021). Pedagogical and psychological conditions of preparing students for social relations on the basis of the development of critical thinking. *Psychology and Education Journal*, 58(2), 4889-4902. <https://doi.org/10.17762/pae.v58i2.2886>
- Almanasreh, E., Moles, R., & Chen, T. F. (2019). Evaluation of methods used for estimating content validity. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*, 15(2), 214-221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2018.03.066>
- Athanasopoulou, A., & Douzina, M. (2022, November 10-11). *Redefining the students' contact with literature via creative writing and reading* [Paper presentation]. Pixel 15th hybrid, international Conference “Innovation in Language Learning 2022”, Florence, Italy. Retrieved May 16, 2023 from <https://conference.pixel-online.net>
- Babayigit, O. (2019). Examining the effect of creative writing activities on reading, writing and language lesson attitudes of elementary school, fourth grade students. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 8(1), 213-220. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.8.1.213>
- Babbie, E. R. (2021). *The practice of social research* (15th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Bauer, G. R., & Scheim, A. I. (2019). Methods for analytic intercategory intersectionality in quantitative research: Discrimination as a mediator of health inequalities. *Social Science & Medicine*, 226, 236-245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.12.015>
- Benton, T. (2015). An empirical assessment of Guttman's Lambda 4 reliability coefficient. In R. E. Millsap, D. M. Bolt, L. A. van der Ark, & W.-C. Wang (Eds.), *Quantitative psychology research: The 78th annual meeting of the Psychometric Society — Springer proceedings in mathematics & statistics* (Vol. 89, pp. 301-310). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-07503-7_19
- Brevik, L. M., Gunnulfsen, A. E., & Renzulli, J. S. (2018). Student teachers' practice and experience with differentiated instruction for students with higher learning potential. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 71, 34-45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.12.003>
- Busico, G., Kazakis, N., Cuoco, E., Colombani, N., Tedesco, D., Voudouris, K., & Mastrocicco, M. (2020). A novel hybrid method of specific vulnerability to anthropogenic pollution using multivariate statistical and regression analyses. *Water Research*, 171, 115386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.115386>
- Chu, L., Li, P. H., & Yu, M.-N. (2020). The longitudinal effect of children's self-regulated learning on reading habits and well-being. *International Journal of Educational*

Research, 104, 101673. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2020.101673>

- Chvátlová, K., & Stranovská, E. (2019). Teaching reading comprehension in a creative way. In B. Lewandowska-Tomaszczyk (Ed.), *Second language learning and teaching (SLLT) — Contacts and contrasts in educational contexts and translation* (pp. 85-103). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-04978-2_6
- Covin, J. G., Coen Rigtering, J. P., Hughes, M., Kraus, S., Cheng, C.-F., & Bouncken, R. B. (2020). Individual and team entrepreneurial orientation: Scale development and configurations for success. *Journal of Business Research*, 112, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.02.023>
- Cremin, T., & Chappell, K. (2021). Creative pedagogies: A systematic review. *Research Papers in Education*, 36(3), 299-331. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02671522.2019.1677757>
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2019). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). Sage.
- DeVellis, R. F., & Thorpe, C. T. (2022). *Scale development: Theory and applications* (5th ed.). Sage.
- Dolean, D., Melby-Lervåg, M., Tincas, I., Damsa, C., & Lervåg, A. (2019). Achievement gap: Socioeconomic status affects reading development beyond language and cognition in children facing poverty. *Learning and Instruction*, 63, 101218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2019.101218>
- Efstathiou, G. (2019). Translation, adaptation and validation process of research instruments. In R. Suhonen, M. Stolt, & E. Papastavrou (Eds.), *Individualized care: Theory, measurement, research and practice* (pp. 65-78). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-89899-5_7
- Gudmundsson, E. (2009). Guidelines for translating and adapting psychological instruments. *Nordic Psychology*, 61(2), 29-45. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1901-2276.61.2.29>
- Hair, J. F., Gabriel, M. L., da Silva, D., & Braga, S. (2019). Development and validation of attitudes measurement scales: Fundamental and practical aspects. *RAUSP Management Journal*, 54(4), 490-507. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RAUSP-05-2019-0098>
- Hedrih, V. (2020). *Adapting psychological tests and measurement instruments for cross-cultural research: An introduction*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429264788>
- Heidari, K. (2020). Critical thinking and EFL learners' performance on textually-explicit, textually-implicit, and script-based reading items. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 37, 100703. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2020.100703>
- Hellenic Statistical Authority [HSA] (2022a). *Living conditions in Greece — 2 September 2022*. Author. Retrieved from <https://www.statistics.gr> [In Greek]
- Holman Jones, S. (2018). Creative selves, creative cultures, creative futures. In S. Holman

- Jones, & M. Pruyn (Eds.), *Creative selves/creative cultures: Critical autoethnography, performance, and pedagogy* (pp. 247-249). Palgrave Macmillan.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-47527-1_16
- Hong, H.-Y., Ma, L., Lin, P.-Y., & Lee, K. Y.-H. (2020). Advancing third graders' reading comprehension through collaborative Knowledge Building: A comparative study in Taiwan. *Computers & Education*, *157*, 103962.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103962>
- HSA (2022b, October 21). *Surveys on pre-primary and primary education (kindergartens and primary schools) — End of school year 2020/2021* [Press release]. Retrieved from <https://www.statistics.gr> [In Greek]
- International Business Machines [IBM] Corporation (n.d.). *IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences [SPSS] statistics 23.0: Uncover data insights that can help solve business and research problems* [Computer software]. Author. Retrieved from <https://www.ibm.com/products/spss-statistics>
- Jin, Y., O'Connor, D., Ok Sik, Y., Tsang, D. C., Liu, A., & Hou, D. (2019). Assessment of sources of heavy metals in soil and dust at children's playgrounds in Beijing using GIS and multivariate statistical analysis. *Environment International*, *124*, 320-328.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.01.024>
- Johnson, R. L., & Morgan, G. B. (2016). *Survey scales: A guide to development, analysis, and reporting*. The Guilford Press.
- Kaplan, D. E. (2019). Creativity in education: Teaching for creativity development. *Psychology*, *10*(2), 140-147. <https://doi.org/10.4236/psych.2019.102012>
- Khan, I., Mehmood, A., & Jumani, N. B. (2020). Classroom practices of teacher educators: Constructivist versus traditional approach. *Journal of Research in Social Sciences*, *8*(1), 20-34. <https://doi.org/10.52015/jrss.8i1.65>
- Kiosses, S. (2019). Fostering creative literacy: Theoretical conditions and pedagogy. *European Journal of English Language, Linguistics and Literature (EJELL)*, *6*(2), 18-25. Retrieved May 16, 2023 from <http://www.idpublications.org>
- Kippers, W. B., Poortman, C. L., Schildkamp, K., & Visscher, A. J. (2018). Data literacy: What do educators learn and struggle with during a data use intervention? *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, *56*, 21-31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2017.11.001>
- Lassig, C. (2020). A typology of student creativity: Creative personal expression, boundary pushing and task achievement. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, *36*, 100654.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2020.100654>
- Leedy, P. D., Ormrod, J. E., & Johnson, L. R. (2019). *Practical research: Planning and design* (12th ed.). Pearson.
- Leung, D. Y., Kumlien, C., Bish, M., Carlson, E., Chan, P. S., & Chan, E. A. (2021). Using

- internationalization-at-home activities to enhance the cultural awareness of health and social science research students: A mixed-method study. *Nurse Education Today*, 100, 104851. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2021.104851>
- Liu, Y., Liu, H., Xu, Y., & Lu, H. (2020). Online English reading instruction in the ESL classroom based on constructivism. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 48(4), 539-552. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239519899341>
- Marcos, R. I. S., López Fernández, V., González, M. T. D., & Phillips-Silver, J. (2020). Promoting children's creative thinking through reading and writing in a cooperative learning classroom. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 36, 100663. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2020.100663>
- Mikalef, P., Boura, M., Lekakos, G., & Krogstie, J. (2019). Big data analytics and firm performance: Findings from a mixed-method approach. *Journal of Business Research*, 98, 261-276. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.01.044>
- Mills, G. E., & Jordan, A. W. (2022). *Educational research: Competencies for analysis and applications* (13th ed.). Pearson.
- Moore, M., Garst, L. H., Marzano, R. J., Kennedy, E., & Senn, D. (2015). *Creating and using learning targets & performance scales: How teachers make better instructional decisions*. Learning Sciences – Marzano Center.
- Moutsinas, G. A., Kroustali, T. E., Mamalaki, E., Papanagnou, A., Papanagnou, E., & Tsaliki, E. K. (2020). The contribution of short-term memory, working memory and demographic characteristics to the linguistic achievement of Greek middle school-age children: Implications for native language development. *European Journal of Education Studies*, 7(3), 35-74. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3751841>
- Moutsinas, G. A., Orosco Gavilán, J. C., Cubas Ramírez, C. E., Céspedes Panduro, B., Oblitas Gonzáles, A., Dang Lâm Diêu, N., & Naz, S. (2023). Reading comprehension and behavior in children using e-books vs. printed books. *World Journal of English Language*, 13(3), 172-180 [Special issue — Education in times of crisis: Methodologies and solutions]. <https://doi.org/10.5430/wjel.v13n3p172>
- Moutsinas, G., Ntziavida, A., & Machia, A. (2019). The neuropsychological profile and the enhancement of the reading comprehension of students with dyslexia through cognitive and metacognitive strategies: Effective school interventions at the age of 11 up to 12 years old. *Journal of Research in Education and Training*, 12(2), 26-116. <https://doi.org/10.12681/jret.19000> [In Greek]
- Newman, A., Nielsen, I., Smyth, R., Hirst, G., & Kennedy, S. (2018). The effects of diversity climate on the work attitudes of refugee employees: The mediating role of psychological capital and moderating role of ethnic identity. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 105, 147-158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2017.09.005>
- O'Connor, K. (2022). Constructivism, curriculum and the knowledge question: Tensions and

- challenges for higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*, 47(2), 412-422. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2020.1750585>
- Priyatni Tri, E., & Martutik, S. (2020). The development of a critical–creative reading assessment based on problem solving. *Sage Open*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020923350>
- Rakhimova Muhammadjanovna, F. (2022). The system of formation and development of creative activity of future educators through person-centered education. *World Bulletin of Social Sciences*, 7, 75-77. Retrieved May 16, 2023 from <https://scholarexpress.net>
- Raykov, T., & Marcoulides, G. A. (2019). Thanks coefficient alpha, we still need you! *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 79(1), 200-210. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164417725127>
- Reis, S. M., Renzulli, S. J., & Renzulli, J. S. (2021). Enrichment and gifted education pedagogy to develop talents, gifts, and creative productivity. *Education Sciences*, 11(10), 615-614. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11100615>
- Richardson, C., & Mishra, P. (2018). Learning environments that support student creativity: Developing the SCALE. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 27, 45-54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2017.11.004>
- Roussos, P. L., & Tsaousis, G. (2020). *Statistics applied to the social sciences using SPSS and R*. Gutenberg. [In Greek]
- Safriyani, R., & Anam, S. (2021). Do students' creativity and reading ability influence their writing ability? *English Focus: Journal of English Language Education*, 5(1), 14-28. <https://doi.org/10.24905/efj.v5i1.115>
- Saidov Ismailovich A. (2021). Socio-psychological problems of educating an independent-minded, creative person in the educational process. *Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy and Culture*, 2(12), 4-7. <https://doi.org/10.47494/cajlp.v2i12.264>
- Salminen, J., Santos, J. M., Kwak, H., An, J., Jung, S.-G., & Jansen, B. J. (2020). Persona perception scale: Development and exploratory validation of an instrument for evaluating individuals' perceptions of personas. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 141, 102437. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2020.102437>
- Shaunessy-Dedrick, E., Evans, L., Ferron, J., & Lindo, M. (2015). Effects of differentiated reading on elementary students' reading comprehension and attitudes toward reading. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 59(2), 91-107. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986214568718>
- Shearer, C. B. (2019). A detailed neuroscientific framework for the multiple intelligences: Describing the neural components for specific skill units within each intelligence. *International Journal of Psychological Studies*, 11(3), 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijps.v11n3p1>

- Şimşek, Z. C., & Işıkoğlu Erdoğan, N. (2021). Comparing the effects of different book reading techniques on young children's language development. *Reading and Writing*, 34(4), 817-839. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-020-10091-9>
- Spielman, R. M., Dumper, K., Jenkins, W., Lacombe, A., Lovett, M., Perlmutter, M., Lolliot, S., Holt-Robinson, C., Johnson, K., Barnes, S., & Ritland, L. (2021). *Psychology — H5P edition*. BCcampus/OpenEd. Retrieved May 16, 2023 from <https://opentextbc.ca/h5pppsychology>
- Sulistiyarini, A. (2020). The impact of reading activity before lesson on creative thinking skill. In W. Strielkowski (Ed.), *Advances in social science, education and humanities research — Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Learning Innovation and Quality Education* ([ICLIQE 2019]; Vol. 397, pp. 593-601). Atlantis Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200129.074>
- Sur, E., & Ateş, M. (2022). Examination of the relationship between creative thinking skills and comprehension skills of middle school students. *Participatory Educational Research*, 9(2), 313-324. <https://doi.org/10.17275/per.22.42.9.2>
- Yurdakal, İ. H. (2019). Examination of correlation between attitude towards reading and perception of creative reading. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 8(2), 443-452. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.8.2.443>
- Yurdakal, İ. H., & Susar-Kırmızı, F. (2017). Perception scale of creative reading: Validity and reliability study. *International Journal of Turkish Literature & Culture Education*, 6(3), 1726-1742. <https://dergipark.org/344471> (Retrieved May 16, 2023)
- Zhu, W., Shang, S., Jiang, W., Pei, M., & Su, Y. (2019). Convergent thinking moderates the relationship between divergent thinking and scientific creativity. *Creativity Research Journal*, 31(3), 320-328. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419.2019.1641685>
- Zimmer, W. K., McTigue, E. M., & Matsuda, N. (2021). Development and validation of the teachers' digital learning identity survey. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 105, 101717. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2020.101717>

Notes

Note 1. "Show me a family of readers, and I will show you the people who move the world." (Quote attributed to Napoléon Bonaparte [1769-1821], Corsican-born, French military commander and political leader).

Note 2. On justifiable query, the ATR-PCR scale is accessible from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

We greatly appreciate the valuable critiquing and consulting contributions of our community advisory committee members. We would also like to thank everyone who took the time to participate in this study.

Authors' contributions

Mr. GAM was responsible for study design, data collection, and manuscript drafting, revising and finalization.

Funding

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Informed consent

Obtained.

Ethics approval

The Publication Ethics Committee of the Macrothink Institute.

The journal's policies adhere to the Core Practices established by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned; externally double-blind peer reviewed.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

Open access

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author, with first publication rights granted to the journal.